



RITRANSPARENCY REPORT 2019

Dundas Partners LLP





About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the PRI website, ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2019 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six <u>Principles for Responsible Investment</u>. It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
Ø	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
6	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ъ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.



Principles Index



Organisational Overview					Principle				General	
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
00 TG		-	n/a							
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							√
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	~	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	8	n/a							✓
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	8	n/a							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Public							✓
OO 10	Active ownership practices for listed assets	✓	Public							✓
00 11	ESG incorporation practices for all assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 01	Breakdown of listed equity investments by passive and active strategies	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	8	n/a							✓
OO FI 01	Breakdown of fixed income investments by passive and active strategies	8	n/a							✓
OO FI 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed fixed income	8	n/a							✓
OO FI 03	Fixed income breakdown by market and credit quality	8	n/a							✓
OO SAM 01	Breakdown of externally managed investments by passive and active strategies	8	n/a							✓
OO PE 01	Breakdown of private equity investments by strategy	8	n/a							✓
OO PE 02	Typical level of ownership in private equity investments	8	n/a							✓
00 PR 01	Breakdown of property investments	8	n/a							✓
00 PR 02	Breakdown of property assets by management	8	n/a							✓
OO PR 03	Largest property types	8	n/a							✓
OO INF 01	Breakdown of infrastructure investments	8	n/a							✓
OO INF 02	Breakdown of infrastructure assets by management	8	n/a							✓
OO INF 03	Largest infrastructure sectors	8	n/a							✓
00 HF 01	Breakdown of hedge funds investments by strategies	8	n/a							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

CCStrategy and Governance						rine	cip	le		General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 01 CC	Climate risk	8	n/a							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public						✓	
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04	Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios	✓	Private							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 07 CC	Climate-issues roles and responsibilities	8	n/a							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 09.2	Assets managed by PRI signatories	8	n/a	✓						
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓			
SG 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 13 CC		8	n/a							✓
SG 14	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Private	✓						
SG 14 CC		8	n/a							✓
SG 15	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
SG 16	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	8	n/a							✓
SG 17	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	₿ n/a								✓
SG 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Public							✓
SG 19	Communication	✓	Public		✓				✓	
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation					P	rin	cip	le		General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	1 2 3 4 5 6					
LEI 01	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	✓	Public	✓						
LEI 02	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	✓	Private	~						
LEI 03	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision-making	✓	Private	✓						
LEI 04	Types of screening applied	8	n/a	✓						
LEI 05	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	8	n/a	~						
LEI 06	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	8	n/a	~						
LEI 07	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	8	n/a	~						
LEI 08	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	✓	Public	~						
LEI 09	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	✓	Public	~						
LEI 10	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	✓	Private	~						
LEI 11	ESG issues in index construction	8	n/a	✓						
LEI 12	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	✓	Private	~						
LEI 13	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	✓	Private	~						
LEI End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership					Principle			General		
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1 2 3 4 5 6				6		
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	✓	Public	✓	✓	✓				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 06	Role in engagement process	✓	Public		✓		✓			
LEA 07	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	✓	Public	✓	✓					
LEA 08	Tracking number of engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 09	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 10	Engagement methods	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 11	Examples of ESG engagements	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 12	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 13	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 14	Securities lending programme	✓	Private		✓					
LEA 15	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 16	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 17	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	✓	Public		✓					
LEA 18	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓ Public			✓					
LEA 19	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	✓ Public			✓					
LEA 20	Shareholder resolutions	✓ Private			✓					
LEA 21	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	✓	Private		✓					
LEA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Dundas Partners LLP

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



O 01	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Peering	Gener
00	01.1 Select the services a	nd funds you offer		
Select th	e services and funds you offe	r % of asse	et under management (AUN	/I) in ranges
Fund mar	nagement	0 0%		
		○ <10%		
		O 10-50%	6	
		• >50%		
Fund of fu	unds, manager of managers, sub	-advised products		
		○ <10%		
		O 10-50%	6	
		○ >50%		
Other		● 0%		
		○ <10%		
		O 10-50%	6	
		○ >50%		
Total 100	%			
	Further options (may	be selected in addition to the	above)	
	Hedge funds			
	Hedge funds Fund of hedge funds			
□F	Fund of hedge funds			
□F		Public	Peering	Gener
□ F O 02	Fund of hedge funds Mandatory	Public your organisation's headquar		Gene
□ F O 02	Fund of hedge funds Mandatory			Gene
0 02 00 Unit	Mandatory O2.1 Select the location of ted Kingdom		ters.	
00 02 OO Unit	Mandatory O2.1 Select the location of ted Kingdom Indicate the number of	your organisation's headquar	ters.	
O 02	Mandatory O2.1 Select the location of ted Kingdom Indicate the number of	your organisation's headquar	ters.	



○ >10

Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

9

OO 03 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

00 03.1

Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

O Yes

No

OO 04 Mandatory Public Gateway/Peering General

00 04.1

Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

29/03/2019

00 04.2

Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

Include the AUM of subsidiaries, but exclude advisory/execution only assets, and exclude the assets of your PRI signatory subsidiaries that you have chosen not to report on in OO 03.2

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		1	285	539	280
Currency	USD				
Assets in USD		1	285	539	280

 \square Not applicable as we are in the fund-raising process

00 04.4

Indicate the total assets at the end of your reporting year subject to an execution and/or advisory approach.

☑ Not applicable as we do not have any assets under execution and/or advisory approach

OO 06 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

OO 06.1

Select how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

as percentage breakdown

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	100	0



Fixed income	0	0
Private equity	0	0
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0
Fund of hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	0	0
Money market instruments	0	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

 $\bigcirc \text{ as broad ranges}$

OO 06.2 Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

OO 06.3 Indicate whether your organisation has any off-balance sheet assets [Optional].

O Yes

O No

OO 06.5 Indicate whether your organisation uses fiduciary managers.

○ Yes, we use a fiduciary manager and our response to OO 5.1 is reflective of their management of our assets.

No, we do not use fiduciary managers.

OO 09 Mandatory Public Peering	General
--------------------------------	---------



OO 09.1	Indicate the breakdown of your organisation's AUM by market.
	Developed Markets
95	
	Emerging Markets
05	
	Frontier Markets
0	
	Other Markets
0	
	Total 100%
100%	

	Asset cla	ass implementation gateway indic	ators		
00 10	M	landatory	Public	Gateway	General
	00 10.1	Select the active ownership activitie	s your organisatior	n implemented in the reporting ye	ar.
		Listed equity – engagement			
	☑ We e	engage with companies on ESG factors v	via our staff, collabo	orations or service providers.	
	□Wed	do not engage directly and do not require	external manager	s to engage with companies on E	SG factors.
		Listed equity – voting			
	☑ We c	cast our (proxy) votes directly or via dedic	cated voting provid	ers	
	□Weo	do not cast our (proxy) votes directly and	do not require exte	ernal managers to vote on our be	half

Select the internally managed asset classes in which you addressed ESG incorporation into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices (during the reporting year).

Public

Gateway



General

Mandatory

Listed equity

• We address ESG incorporation.

○ We do not do ESG incorporation.

00 12 **Mandatory Public Gateway** General Below are all applicable modules or sections you may report on. Those which are mandatory to report (asset classes representing 10% or more of your AUM) are already ticked and read-only. 00 12.1 Those which are voluntary to report on can be opted into by ticking the box. Core modules ☑ Organisational Overview ☑ Strategy and Governance RI implementation directly or via service providers Direct - Listed Equity incorporation ☑ Listed Equity incorporation ☑ Engagements ☑ (Proxy) voting RI implementation via external managers Closing module ☑ Closing module

Peering question					_
	S	on	Ш	Hes	Peerina a

01.1

OO LE 01 Mandatory Public Gateway General

OO LE Provide a breakdown of your internally managed listed equities by passive, active - quantitative

Provide a breakdown of your internally managed listed equities by passive, active - quantitative (quant), active - fundamental and active - other strategies.

Update: this indicator has changed from "Mandatory to report, voluntary to disclose" to "Mandatory". Your response to this indicator will be published in the Public Transparency Report. This change is to enable improved analysis and peering.

Percentage of internally managed listed equities



Strategies	Percentage of internally managed listed equities
Passive	O >50%
	O 10-50%
	○ <10%
	© 0%
Active - quantitative (quant)	○ >50%
	O 10-50%
	O <10%
	● 0%
Active - fundamental and active - other	
	O 10-50%
	○ <10%
	0 0%
Total 100%	

Dundas Partners LLP

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Investment policy

SG 01 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
☑ Policy setting out your overall approach	Applicable policies cover all AUM
☐ Formalised guidelines on environmental factors	O Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM
☐ Formalised guidelines on social factors	O Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM
☑ Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors	
☑ Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties	
☐ Asset class-specific RI guidelines	
☐ Sector specific RI guidelines	
☑ Screening / exclusions policy	
☑ (Proxy) voting policy	
☐ Other, specify (1)	
☐ Other, specify(2)	



SG 01.3	Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following
---------	---

☑ Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments
$\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account
☑ Time horizon of your investment
☐ Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
☑ ESG incorporation approaches
☑ Active ownership approaches
□ Reporting
☐ Climate change
☐ Understanding and incorporating client / beneficiary sustainability preferences
☐ Other RI considerations, specify (1)
☐ Other RI considerations, specify (2)

SG 01.4

Describe your organisation's investment principles and overall investment strategy, interpretation of fiduciary (or equivalent) duties, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.

Dundas invests globally for long run dividend and capital growth. We have always focused on the long term benefits of companies able to grow their dividend sustainably. Those companies have many desirable attributes for investors and asset owners which are directly related to ESG.

ESG analysis is integrated into our investment process i.e. ESG criteria are included in stock research, company engagement, investment decisions, and portfolio monitoring. All research is conducted in-house.

The financial implications of ESG issues come to light in the long term and can either enhance or detract from the long term growth rate we envision for a company, the core of our financial discipline for investment decision making. This approach mitigates risk and losses, secures returns to clients, while benefitting the wider society.

Sustainability is embedded in our practices, from our partnership model to co-investing with our clients. Fees are an important issue for the long term sustainability of our own industry; we have chosen to keep costs down, passing this onto our clients as lower fees.

We believe that by investing responsibly whilst upholding the same philosophy which the firm has held from the outset, we can achieve the best results for our clients.

SG 01.5

Provide a brief description of the key elements, any variations or exceptions to your investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach. [Optional]

Our strategy of long term capital and dividend growth requires investment in companies with sustainable business practices. Selected stocks work to manage environmental impacts, have progressive policies relating to ESG, are transparent on corporate and accounting practices, and tie management incentives to long term goals which encourage sustainable growth.

By taking an ESG integration approach to investing we accept that Dundas portfolios are not 'sin free' but allow for engagement with companies to gain a better understanding of ESG conduct and, most importantly, monitoring of change - be it improvement on deterioration - relating to ESG factors.

Our bottom-up fundamental analysis has led us to industries which are growing sustainably and, after having never led us to invest in tobacco or munitions companies, we decided in 2014 to formally exclude these from our portfolios; this has been embedded in our guidelines. This document is reviewed periodically by Dundas' compliance committee and annually by the partnership.

 \bigcirc No



I confirm I have read and understood the Accountability tab for SG 01

I confirm I have read and understood the Accountability tab for SG 01

SG 02 **Public Core Assessed** PRI 6 **Mandatory** New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully. Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL SG 02.1 and an attachment of the document. ☑ Policy setting out your overall approach **URL/Attachment** □ URL ☑ Attachment (will be made public) **Attachment** File 1:Dundas Partners LLP Sustainable Investment Policy.pdf ☐ Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors ☑ Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties **URL/Attachment** ☐ URL ☑ Attachment (will be made public) Attachment File 1:Dundas Partners LLP Stewardship Code.pdf ☐ Screening / exclusions policy ☑ Engagement policy **URL/Attachment** □ URL ☑ Attachment (will be made public) **Attachment** File 1:Dundas Partners LLP Engagement Policy 2018.pdf



☑ (Proxy) voting policy

URL/Attachment						
☐ URL ☑ Attachment (will be made public)						
Attachment						
File 1:Proxy Voting Policy 2018.pdf						
☐ We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents						
SG 02.2 Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an attachment of the document.						
☑ Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments						
URL/Attachment						
□ URL ☑ Attachment						
File Attachment						
Dundas Partners LLP Sustainable Investment Policy.pdf [405KB]						
✓ Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account						
URL/Attachment						
☐ URL ☑ Attachment						
File Attachment						
Dundas Partners LLP Sustainable Investment Policy.pdf [405KB]						
☑ Time horizon of your investment						
URL/Attachment						
□ URL ☑ Attachment						
File Attachment						
Dundas Partners LLP Sustainable Investment Policy.pdf [405KB]						

 $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$ ESG incorporation approaches



	URL/Attachment						
□ URL							
☑ Attachme	ent						
	E11. A 11. A						
	File Attachment						
Dundas Partners LLP Sustainable Investment Policy.pdf [405KB]							
	hip approaches						
	URL/Attachment						
□ URL							
	ent						
	File Attachment						
	File Attachment						
<u>Dundas F</u>	Partners LLP Engagement Police	cy 2018.pdf [392KB]	l				
□ We do not pub	olicly disclose any investment p	olicy components					
□ Wo do not pak	onery discussed any investment p	oney compendance					
SG 03 Mandate	ory	Public	Core Assessed	General			
SG 03.1 Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.							
Yes							
SG 03.2	SG 03.2 Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.						
maintain and o		and administrative	ts requirement under the FCA's arrangements with a view to tal	king reasonable			

steps designed to prevent conflicts of interest from giving rise to a material risk of damaging clients' interests.

Dundas is an independent company. Its sole activity is the management of global equity portfolios. Thus it avoids many of the potential conflicts of interest which can bedevil organisations that are active in more than one area of investment management or other financial services.

The portfolios Dundas manages for its clients all follow the firm's common investment strategy. However, some clients may request variations in the way Dundas applies its strategy resulting in differences in the investment results achieved across the client base. A client in one country may ask Dundas to manage the portfolio without securities from that country whereas most other clients do not apply such restrictions.

Such differences are not considered by Dundas to represent conflicts of interest. Rather they are a reflection of individual client requirements and Dundas' commitment to treat each customer fairly.

 \bigcirc No

Objectives and strategies



SG 05 Mandatory Public Gateway/Core Assessed General

SG 05.1

Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- O Biannually
- Annually
- O Less frequently than annually
- O Ad-hoc basis
- O It is not set/reviewed

SG 05.2

Additional information. [Optional]

Dundas sets objectives for the firm's sustainable investment process and reviews this in the quarterly ESG Committee meeting. Topics include ESG analysis, company engagements, and disclosure.

In 2017 Dundas set up an ESG inbox - accessible by all staff - for tracking all sustainable investing activity. Postings include news and information from ESG events as well as details of ESG analysis, company engagements, and investment decisions from the investment team.

Governance and human resources SG 07 Mandatory Public Core Assessed General Indicate the internal and/or external roles used by your organisation, and indicate for each SG 07.1 whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment. Roles ☐ Board members or trustees ☑ Internal Roles (triggers other options) Select from the below internal roles ☑ Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Operating Officer (COO), **Investment Committee** ☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment ☑ Implementation of responsible investment ☐ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment



☑ Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify

Head of Operations

	t/accountability for responsible investment	
	ntation of responsible investment	
☐ No overs	ght/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment	
☑ Portfolio mana	gers	
	t/accountability for responsible investment	
	ntation of responsible investment	
☐ No overs	ght/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment	
☑ Investment an	alysts	
	t/accountability for responsible investment	
☑ Implement	ntation of responsible investment	
☐ No overs	ght/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment	
□ Dedicated res	ponsible investment staff	
☐ Investor relation	ons	
☐ Investor relation☑ Other role, specifical		
	Other description (1)	
☑ Other role, spe	Other description (1)	
✓ Other role, specific of the	Other description (1)	
✓ Other role, specific of the	Other description (1) Im t/accountability for responsible investment	
✓ Other role, specific of the	Other description (1) Im t/accountability for responsible investment Intation of responsible investment Integrated accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment	
✓ Other role, specific of the	Other description (1) Im It/accountability for responsible investment Intation of responsible investment Ight/accountability or implementation responsibility for re	
Other role, specific of the control	Other description (1) Im It/accountability for responsible investment Intation of responsible investment Ight/accountability or implementation responsibility for re	
Other role, specific of the control	Other description (1) Im It/accountability for responsible investment Intation of responsible investment Ight/accountability or implementation responsibility for re	
Other role, specific of the control	Other description (1) Im It/accountability for responsible investment Intation of responsible investment Ight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment Ight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment Ight/accountability for responsible investment Ight/accountability for responsible investment	

SG 07.2

For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, ndicate how you execute these responsibilities.

ESG Committee members are also members of the investment team, the operations team, are portfolio managers, and include our CIO, CEO, and Head of Operations. This allows those with oversight and implementation roles to be involved in reviewing our sustainable investment process. Individual responsibilities are executed following the firm's Sustainable Investment Policy:

Principle 1: We will incorporate ESG issues into investment analysis and decision-making processes.

ESG issues are integrated into our investment research with each analyst responsible for the analysis of information collated in preparation for reviews of stocks both those we own and those being considered. As with financial, valuation and business analysis all ESG analysis is carried out in house. Academic research is continually reviewed to focus on those ESG issues demonstrated to improve corporate performance and returns to investors.

Principle 2: We will be active owners and incorporate ESG issues into our ownership policies and practices.

We invest Globally and seek to apply the highest standards of business practice and ethics across the different countries and sectors in which we invest. As long - term investors we expect to be shareholders in companies for more than 5 years. In making the decision to invest client funds we anticipate that the goals of those clients are aligned with those of the investee companies. However this does not mean that we will always vote with management on issues where we see those interests are not aligned in any aspect of business strategy. In these cases we will engage positively with the company - most likely through the PRI - and if necessary vote against the proposal.

Principle 3: We will seek appropriate disclosure on ESG issues by the entities in which we invest.



As part of our analysis we pay attention to ESG disclosure metrics for all those companies owned or considered for purchase. In particular we seek to invest in those companies which rank highly amongst their industry peers in terms of disclosure and who are demonstrating their commitment to disclosure year on year. In addition over time we seek to improve the overall disclosure metrics of our portfolio.

Principle 4: We will promote acceptance and implementation of the Principles within the investment industry.

We have included ESG considerations when selecting suppliers to the Firm and ensure that we include ESG information when completing RFPs. ESG will play an ongoing role in the professional development of our team.

Principle 5: We will work together to enhance our effectiveness in implementing the Principles.

We seek to be active members of the PRI and participate in regular seminars, meetings and monitor collaborations and initiatives.

Principle 6: We will each report on our activities and progress towards implementing the Principles.

We seek to be clear in how ESG issues are integrated within our investment research both with clients and investee companies. Over time we will seek to capture the impact of our ESG analysis on total returns for investors.

SG 07.3	Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.
	Number
0	
SG 07.4	Additional information. [Optional]

All Dundas staff are involved in our sustainable investment roles. In August 2018, Dundas brought on a new permanent member of staff into the operations team. Part of their responsibilities are to take the lead on our ESG initiative by implementing the firm's sustainable investment policy, running the ESG Committee quarterly meetings, and helping to further integrate ESG into the investment process.

I confirm I have read and understood the Accountability tab for SG 07

I confirm I have read and understood the Accountability tab for SG 07

Promoting responsible investment SG 09 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 4,5 Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played. Select all that apply Principles for Responsible Investment Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions) Basic Moderate Advanced



Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

During the reporting year Dundas staff members took part in PRI events including the roundtable in Edinburgh on Putting the 'S' into ESG, the Climate Forum in London, and webinars on the PRI reporting cycle.

☐ Asian Corporate Governance Association
☐ Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
□ AFIC – La Commission ESG
□ BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board
□ CDP Climate Change
□ CDP Forests
□ CDP Water
☐ CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
□ Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
☐ Code for Responsible Finance in the 21st Century
☐ Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
□ Eumedion
☐ Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
□ ESG Research Australia
☐ Invest Europe Responsible Investment Roundtable
☐ Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
☐ Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)
☐ Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
☐ Green Bond Principles
☐ Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
☐ Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
☐ International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
□ Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
☐ International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
□ Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
□ Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
□ Principles for Sustainable Insurance
☑ Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
UKSIF
Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
☑ Basic
□ Moderate
☐ Advanced



Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

During the reporting year Dundas staff attended the UKSIF Edinburgh Analyst conference which included UKSIF's outlook on SRI policy, markets and campaigns.

	□ Daamana:	hla Financa Drinninlas in Indusius Fin					
	☐ Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance ☐ Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)						
	☐ Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)						
	 □ United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI) □ United Nations Global Compact 						
	☐ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify						
		aborative organisation/initiative, speci					
		aborative organisation/initiative, speci	-				
	□ Other con	aborative organisation/initiative, speci	Ty				
SG 10	Mar	ndatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4		
	SG 10.1	Indicate if your organisation promot initiatives.	investment, independently of collaboration	orative			
	Yes						
				en to promote responsible investmer			
	SG 10.	independently of collaborative in the objectives of the selected a participation/contribution.		ide a description of your role in contr ypical frequency of your	ibuting to		
	☐ Provided or supported education or training programmes (this includes peer to peer RI support) Your education or training may be for clients, investment managers, actuaries, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers etc.)						
	☐ Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment						
	☑ Provided input and/or collaborated with academia on RI related work						
		Description					
		ided investment manager anecdote to nce Hub's report on the ethical investr			ind Ethical		
		Frequency of contribution					
	0	Quarterly or more frequently					
	○ Biannually						
	○ Annually						
	O Less frequently than annually						
	0	Ad hoc					
	•	Other					
		specify					
		Provided input to augment the study v	which is current	tly being drafted.			

Principles for Responsible Investment

outsourcing to fiduciary managers and in	vestment consu	ıltants	
No			
☐ Other, specify			
\square On the Board of, or officially advising, other	er RI organisations	(e.g. local SIFs)	
☐ A member of PRI advisory committees/ w			
☐ Wrote and published articles on responsit	_		
 ○ Other □ Responded to RI related consultations by 	non-governmental	organisations (OECD_ESR etc.)	
O Athor			
O Less frequently than annually			
O Annually			
○ Biannually			
Quarterly or more frequently			
Frequency of contribution			
Through industry networking events			
Description			
☐ Wrote and published in-house research p			
□ Spoke publicly at events and conferences		sible investment	
Comment submitted for petition file n	no 4-730		
specify			
Other			
O Ad hoc			
O Less frequently than annually			
○ Annually			
O Biannually			
O Quarterly or more frequently			
Frequency of contribution			
Public comment to SEC on petition for rule	emaking on ESG di	sclosures in US public company r	eporting.
Description			
industry			



New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 12.1 Indicate whether your organisation uses investment consultants.

☐ Yes, we use investment consultants
☐ No, we do not use investment consultants.

ESG issues in asset allocation

SG 13 Mandatory Public Descriptive PRI 1

SG 13.1

Indicate whether the organisation undertakes scenario analysis and/or modelling and provide a description of the scenario analysis (by asset class, sector, strategic asset allocation, etc.).

- ☐ Yes, to assess future ESG factors
- ☐ Yes, to assess future climate-related risks and opportunities
- ☑ No, not to assess future ESG/climate-related issues

Innovation

SG 18 Voluntary Public Descriptive General

SG 18.1

Indicate whether any specific features of your approach to responsible investment are particularly innovative.

Yes

SG 18.2

Describe any specific features of your approach to responsible investment that you believe are particularly innovative.

Philosophy

Our philosophy is centred on superior dividend growth which by nature requires a long term outlook and investment in sustainable businesses.

Dividend growth stocks typically have a sustainable competitive advantage and are capable of generating substantial free cash flow to fund rising dividend streams. To pay a dividend shows strong corporate governance and a long term commitment to shareholders.

Considering dividend growth over 10 years or more results in greater sensitivity to environmental factors due to the monetary and reputational cost of breaching environmental laws or failing to meet targets for mitigation of environmental impacts.

Similarly, exploitative practices whether with respect to employees, customers, suppliers or even competitors have long term consequences that are best captured in relation to lower long term growth rates i.e. they render a company unsustainable.

Process

We believe our internal research and active ownership provide a better assessment of a company's ESG behaviour and a competitive advantage in finding the best dividend growth stocks.

Sifting rather than screening is the key to our research process. Sifting requires an open mind and being ready and willing to consider all options. When an investor screens, the search criteria define both the process and the result.

Companies are assessed using our proprietary financial tool, the Dundas Grid, to analyse data on a consistent basis looking at growth, profitability, financial health, cash flow and ESG factors. The Grid imports information



from Bloomberg on 18 ESG reporting items and produces comparisons between the individual companies' disclosure and that of a peer group.

The quantitative measure at the heart of our process is the 'Dundas Ratio', the product of multiplying a stock's Return on Equity by its net income retention rate. This indicates the stock's implied growth rate and prompts the key question - can the company achieve that growth rate? By considering ESG, which can either enhance or detract from the valuation, we invest in companies with better returns and stronger ESG performance.

Risk Management

Investing for long term growth of capital and dividends means that our portfolios hold high quality businesses which are well-positioned to manage ESG issues.

Our in house categorisation of stocks for portfolio building (mature growth, sustainable growth, and rapid growth) means we can ensure sustainable stocks form the majority of the portfolio.

We focus on dividend security as a risk management tool. Senior management must be certain of future cash flows to pay and grow a dividend. This growth must also be sustainable to avoid financial distress and a dividend cut. Overall we seek growth rates in excess of 10% from the portfolio and ESG factors can reduce or enhance underlying growth rates by several percentage points.

○ No

Communication

SG 19 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2, 6

SG 19.1

Indicate whether your organisation typically discloses asset class specific information proactively. Select the frequency of the disclosure to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provide a URL to the public information.

Caution! The order in which asset classes are presented below has been updated in the online tool to match the Reporting Framework overview.

If you are transferring data from an offline document, please check your response carefully.

Listed equity - Incorporation

Do you disclose?

- O We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose it publicly



	Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries							
	Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries							
	broad approach to ESG incorporation							
	Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used							
Ħ								
Frequency								
	☐ Quarterly or more frequently							
	☐ Biannually							
☐ Annually								
☐ Less frequently than annually								
	☑ Ad-hoc/when requested							
	Listed equity - Engagement							
	Do you disclose?							
	We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.							
	O We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.							
	We disclose to the public							
	The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same							
	○ Yes							

No

Disclosure to public and URL	Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries	
Disclosure to public and URL	Disclosure to clients/beneficiarie	
☑ Details on the overall engagement strategy	S	
☑ Details on the selection of engagement cases and definition of objectives of the selections, priorities and specific goals	☑ Details on the overall engagement strategy	
☐ Number of engagements undertaken	☑ Details on the selection of engagement cases and definition of objectives	
☐ Breakdown of engagements by type/topic		
☐ Breakdown of engagements by region		
☐ An assessment of the current status of the progress achieved and outcomes against defined objectives	of the selections, priorities and specific goals	
☐ Examples of engagement cases	☐ Number of	
☐ Details on eventual escalation strategy taken after the initial dialogue has been unsuccessful (i.e. filing resolutions, issuing a statement, voting against management, divestment etc.)	engagements undertaken	
☐ Details on whether the provided information has been externally assured	☐ Breakdown of engagements by type/topic	
☐ Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement ☐ Other information	☐ Breakdown of engagements by region	
	☐ An assessment of the current status of the progress achieved and outcomes against defined objectives	
	☑ Examples of engagement cases	
	☑ Details on eventual escalation strategy taken after the initial dialogue has been unsuccessful (i.e. filing resolutions, issuing a statement, voting against management, divestment etc.)	
	☐ Details on whether the provided information has been externally assured	
	☑ Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement	
	☐ Other information	



Frequency	Frequency	
☐ Quarterly or more frequently	☐ Quarterly or more frequently	
□ Biannually	☐ Biannually	
☑ Annually	☐ Annually	
☐ Less frequently than annually ☐ Ad-hoc/when requested	☐ Less frequently than annually	
	☑ Ad-hoc/when requested	
http://www.dundasglobal.com/_img/userfiles/files/UN%20PRI%20submission%202018_public.pdf		
Listed equity – (Proxy) Voting		
Do you disclose?		
O We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.		
○ We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.		
• We disclose to the public		
The information disclosed to clients/beneficiaries is the same		
Yes		



 \bigcirc No

Disclosure to public and LIDI						
Disclosure to public and URL						
Disclosure to public and URL						
Disclose all voting decisions						
O Disclose some voting decisions						
Only disclose abstentions and votes against management						
Frequency						
☐ Quarterly or more frequently						
☐ Biannually						
☑ Annually						
☐ Less frequently than annually						
☐ Ad hoc/when requested						
URL						
http://www.dundasglobal.com/_img/userfiles/files/Proxy%20Vote%20Summary%202018.PDF						

Dundas Partners LLP

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities

Implementation processes										
LEI 01	Man	datory		Public	Gateway	PRI 1				
	LEI 01.1	or combination of strategies you eakdown of your actively manage · 5%)	apply to ed listed							
ESG incorporation strategy (select all that apply)										
	☐ Screening alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)									
	□ Th	ematic alone (i.e. not c	ombined with	any other strategies	5)					
	✓ Integration alone (i.e. not combined with any other strategies)									
Percenta	ge of active li	sted equity to which								
the strategy is applied			%	ó						
			100							
☐ Screening and integration strategies										
	□ Th	ematic and integration	strategies							
	☐ Screening and thematic strategies									
	☐ All three strategies combined									
	☐ We do not apply incorporation strategies									
	Total actively managed listed equities									
100%										

LEI 01.2

Describe your organisation's approach to incorporation and the reasons for choosing the particular ESG incorporation strategy/strategies.

ESG analysis is integrated into our investment process i.e. ESG criteria are included in financial valuations, company engagement, investment decisions, and portfolio monitoring. Investment research is conducted inhouse using publicly available data supplemented with ESG ratings from external providers. This ESG integration approach applies to all of Dundas' portfolios.

The financial implications of ESG issues come to light in the long term and can either enhance or detract from the long term growth rate we envision for a company, the core of our financial discipline for investment decision making. This approach mitigates risk and losses, secures returns to clients, while benefitting the wider society.

We have chosen an integrated strategy because we believe ESG has a multi-faceted impact on the companies we invest in which cannot be isolated by screening and thematic approaches. We prefer to undertake a full review of companies which pass our financial and ESG criteria for sustainability, allowing us to gain a comprehensive understanding of how a company operates and ensure no opportunities are missed.

ESG integration has led us to industries which are growing sustainably and away from those which are not. This underpinned our decision to formally exclude tobacco and munitions stocks from our portfolios. Similarly, ESG issues have prevented us from investing in both coal and companies with variable interest entity (VIE)



structures. As we continue to find industries which do not adhere to our sustainability policy, we will exclude them from our research universe.

All Dundas staff are involved in ESG integration. We feel it is important for RI to be cultural rather than functional - it is a frame of mind, not a set of rules to be adhered to. We believe that by investing responsibly whilst upholding the same philosophy which the firm has held from the outset, we can achieve the best results for our clients.

(C) Implementation: Integration of ESG factors

LEI 08 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 1 Indicate the ESG factors you systematically research as part of your investment analysis and **LEI 08.1** the proportion of actively managed listed equity portfolios that is impacted by this analysis. **ESG** issues Proportion impacted by analysis Environmental Environmental 0 <10% O 10-50% ○ 51-90% ● >90% Social Social 0 <10% O 10-50% O 51-90% >90% Corporate Governance Corporate Governance 0 <10% O 10-50% O 51-90% >90%

ESG integration applies to all Dundas strategies. Our bottom-up, fundamental investment approach means we can undertake a full review of companies which pass our financial criteria for sustainability ensuring that sector-specific ESG issues are considered and assessed in each investment case.

Additional information. [Optional]

LEI 08.2

LEI 09 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 1 Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure ESG integration is based on a LEI 09.1 robust analysis. ☑ Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products ☐ Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies ☑ Third-party ESG ratings are updated regularly. ☑ A periodic review of the internal research is carried out ☑ Structured, regular ESG specific meetings between responsible investment staff and the fund manager or within the investments team ☑ ESG risk profile of a portfolio against benchmark Analysis of the impact of ESG factors on investment risk and return performance ☐ Other, specify ☐ None of the above Indicate the proportion of your actively managed listed equity portfolio that is subject to **LEI 09.2** comprehensive ESG research as part your integration strategy. ○ <10% O 10-50% ○ 51-90% >90% Indicate how frequently third party ESG ratings that inform your ESG integration strategy are **LEI 09.3** updated. O Quarterly or more frequently Bi-Annually Annually O Less frequently than annually Indicate how frequently you review internal research that builds your ESG integration **LEI 09.4** Quarterly or more frequently O Bi-Annually



Annually

O Less frequently than annually

LEI 09.5	Describe how ESG information is held and used by your portfolio managers.						
☑ ESG info	☑ ESG information is held within centralised databases or tools and it is accessible by all relevant staff						
	mation or analysis is a standard section or aspect of all company research notes or tor analysis generated by investment staff						
	Systematic records are kept that capture how ESG information and research was incorporated into investment decisions						
☑ Other, sp	☑ Other, specify						
ESG infor	mation and analysis posted to ESG Inbox accessible by all staff						
□ None of t	□ None of the above						

LEI 09.6

Additional information.[Optional]

We take advantage of corporate ESG reports and embed them in the Dundas Grid, a proprietary company financial analysis tool which compares companies on a consistent basis looking at growth, profitability, financial health and above all cash flow. The Grid imports information on 18 ESG reporting items and produces comparisons between the individual companies' disclosure and that of a peer group. This information is sourced live from Bloomberg whereas external ESG ratings on stocks and portfolios are updated bi-annually.

Following purchase, the stock monitoring programme assesses progress versus the initial investment thesis. ESG factors are monitored principally via the annual investment review. Dundas takes opportunities presented in face-to-face company contact, proxy voting and other communication to advocate ESG priorities.

The ESG Committee meets quarterly and includes members of the investment team, operations team, portfolio managers, and senior-level staff.



Dundas Partners LLP

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Over	view				
LEA 01	Mandate	ory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
New sel	ection opt	ions have been added to th	nis indicator. Pleas	se review your prefilled respo	onses carefully.
LEA 0	1.1 In	dicate whether your organisa	ation has an active o	ownership policy.	
Yes	5				
L	.EA 01.2	Attach or provide a URL to	o your active owners	ship policy.	
(Attachme	ent provided:			
		oxy Voting Policy 2018.pdf			
File 2:Dundas P	artners LLI	Stewardship Code.pdf			
File 3:Dundas P	artners LLF	P Engagement Policy 2018.p	<u>odf</u>		
(O URL prov	vided:			
L	EA 01.3	Indicate what your active of	engagement policy	covers:	
		General approach to act	ive ownership		
	☑ Conflic	ts of interest			
	✓ Alignm	ent with national stewardship	p code requirements	S	
		/funds covered by active own	nership policy		
	•	ations and objectives			
		ement approach			
		Engagement			

Engagement

☑ ESG issues

☐ Prioritisation of engagement

☑ Method of engagement

☐ Transparency of engagement activities

☑ Due diligence and monitoring process

☐ Insider information

☐ Escalation strategies

☑ Service Provider specific criteria

☐ Other specify;

☑ (Proxy) voting approach



Voting					
☑ ESG issues					
☑ Prioritisation and scope of voting activities					
☑ Methods of voting					
☑ Transparency of voting activities					
☑ Regional voting practice approaches					
☐ Filing or co-filing resolutions					
☐ Company dialogue pre/post-vote					
✓ Decision-making processes					
☐ Securities lending processes					
☐ Other specify;					
□ Other					
☐ None of the above					
○ No					
LEA 01.4 Do you outsource any of your active ownership activities to service providers?					
LEA 01.5 Where active ownership activities are conducted by service providers, indicate whether your active ownership policy covers any of the following.					
☑ Outline of service providers role in implementing organisation's active ownership policy					
☑ Description of considerations included in service provider selection and agreements					
☑ Identification of key ESG frameworks service providers must follow					
✓ Outline of information sharing requirements of service providers					
☑ Description of service provider monitoring processes					
□ Other, specify					
☐ Other, specify					
☐ Other, specify☐ None of the above					

Engagement					
LEA 02	Mandatory		Public	Core Assessed	

LEA 02.1 Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.



PRI 1,2,3

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual / Internal staff engagements	☑ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues
	☑ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
	$\ensuremath{\square}$ To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management
	☐ We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	☑ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues
	☑ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
	\square To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management
	☐ We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	☑ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues
	☑ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
	\square To gain an understanding of ESG strategy and/or management
	☐ We do not engage via service providers

LEA 02.2

Indicate whether your organisation plays a role in the engagement process that your service provider conducts.

Yes

LEA 02.3

Indicate the role(s) you play in engagements that your service provider conducts on your behalf.

- ☑ Discuss the topic (or ESG issue(s)) of engagement
- ☑ Discuss the rationale for engagement
- ☑ Discuss the objectives of the engagement
- ☑ Select the companies to be engaged with
- ☐ Discuss the frequency/intensity of interactions with companies
- ☐ Discuss next steps for engagement activity
- ☐ Participate directly in certain engagements with your service provider
- ☐ Other; specify
- \square We play no role in engagements that our service provider conducts.

 \bigcirc No

LEA 03 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.



LEA 03.1

Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagements.

Yes

LEA 03.2

Indicate the criteria used to identify and prioritise engagements for each type of engagement.



Type of engagement	Criteria used to identify/prioritise engagements				
Individual / Internal					
engagements	Internal / Individual engagements				
	☐ Geography / market of the companies				
	☑ Materiality of the ESG factors				
	☐ Exposure (size of holdings)				
	☑ Responses to ESG impacts that have already occurred				
	☐ Responses to divestment pressure				
	☐ Consultation with clients/beneficiaries				
	☐ Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.)				
	☑ Follow-up from a voting decision				
	☐ Client request				
	☑ Breaches of international norms				
	☐ Other, specify				
	☐ We do not outline engagement criteria for our individual engagements.				
Collaborative engagements					
	Collaborative engagements				
	☑ Potential to enhance knowledge of ESG issues from other investors				
	☑ Ability to have greater impact on ESG issues				
	☑ Ability to add value to the collaboration				
	☐ Geography/market of the companies targeted by the collaboration				
	☑ Materiality of ESG factors addressed by the collaboration				
	☐ Exposure (size of holdings) to companies targeted by the collaboration				
	☑ Responses to ESG impacts addressed by the collaboration that have already occurred				
	☐ Responses to divestment pressure				
	☑ Follow-up from a voting decision				
	☑ Alleviate the resource burden of engagement				
	☐ Consultation with clients/beneficiaries				
	☐ Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.)				
	☐ Other, specify				
	☐ We do not outline engagement criteria for our collaborative engagements.				



Service Provider				
engagements	Service Provider engagements			
	☐ Geography / market of the companies			
☑ Materiality of ESG factors				
	☐ Exposure (size of holdings)			
☑ Responses to ESG impacts that have already occurred				
	☐ Responses to divestment pressure			
	☐ Consultation with clients/beneficiaries			
	☑ Consultation with other stakeholders (e.g. NGOs, trade unions, etc.)			
☑ Follow-up from voting decision				
	☑ Client request			
☑ Breaches of international norms				
☐ Other, specify				
	☐ We do not outline engagement criteria for our service providers.			

 \bigcirc No

LEA 03.3 Additional information. [Optional

To identify ESG issues to engage on, we use the ESG inbox, accessed by all staff, to share suggestions and post relevant information, such as news articles on ESG topics. We attend industry events and webinars for insight into ESG trends and upcoming collaborations.

Members of the investment team will attend and host company meetings and conference calls which make up the majority of our individual engagements. The ESG Committee will review engagement activity and arrange written individual engagements.

The analyst responsible for a stock monitors upcoming AGM proposals and members of our team meet with our service provider on a biannual basis, and attend an annual roundtable to discuss progress regarding engagement objectives. At these meetings, we take the opportunity to highlight particular areas of interest as identified by our firm and in-house process. Some clients have requested custom voting guidelines and this is reflected in execution of proxy voting and engagement.

LEA 04 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 04.1

Indicate whether you define specific objectives for your organisation's engagement activities.



Individual / Internal engagements	 All engagement activities Majority of engagement activities Minority of engagement activities We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by internal staff.
Collaborative engagements	 All engagement activities Majority of engagement activities Minority of engagement activities We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out through collaboration
Service provider engagements	 All engagement activities Majority of engagement activities Minority of engagement activities We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by our service providers.

LEA 04.2 Additional information. [Optional]

Our goals for the companies in which we invest are to manage environmental impacts, have policies which consider ESG issues, be transparent on corporate and accounting practices, and tie management incentives to long term goals which encourage sustainable growth. If any investment or prospect falls short of these expectations, we aim to engage with them directly or collaboratively. Likewise, if any controversies come to our attention and we consider it to be a material issue we will engage with the company. We also monitor mainstream and broader issues for the purpose of engagement, such as plastic waste or climate change, and assess which of our holdings may be exposed to or contributing to such risks.

LEA 05		Mar	ndatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
	LEA 0	5.1	Indicate if you monitor and/or review	w engagement outo	comes.	

Individual / Internal engagements	 Yes, in all cases Yes, in majority of cases Yes, in a minority of cases We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes carried out by our internal staff.
Collaborative engagements	 Yes, in all cases Yes, in a majority of cases Yes, in a minority of cases We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes via collaborative engagement activities.
Service provider engagements	 Yes, in all cases Yes, in majority of cases Yes, in minority of cases We do not monitor, or review engagement outcomes carried out by our service providers.

LEA 05.2

Indicate if you do any of the following to monitor and review the progress of engagement activities.

Individual / Internal staff	☑ Define timelines/milestones for your objectives			
engagements	☑ Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs			
	$\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met			
	☑ Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on continuous basis			
	☐ Other; specify			
Collaborative engagements	☐ Define timelines/milestones for your objectives			
	☑ Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs			
	☑ Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met			
	\square Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on continuous basis			
	☐ Other; specify			
Service provider engagements	☐ Define timelines/milestones for your objectives			
	☑ Track and/or monitor progress against defined objectives and/or KPIs			
	☑ Track and/or monitor the progress of action taken when original objectives are not met			
	\square Revisit and, if necessary, revise objectives on continuous basis			
	☐ Other; specify			

LEA 05.3

Additional information [Optional

We monitor our engagement with companies in three ways:

ESG score momentum: An ESG score assessment is built into our fundamental financial analysis tool, The



Dundas Grid, showing 18 individual ESG categories. This is reviewed formally on a quarterly basis and momentum is tracked and assessed.

Company visits: We meet many of the companies we invest in, either in person via conference calls. All interactions are recorded in our Company Meeting Tracker; any ESG queries or updates required are provided and assessed.

Responses to our written communication: If we are not satisfied that we have not received proper clarification on an ESG issue which we have engaged on or if we receive no reply we will follow up.

LEA 06		Mandatory		Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2,4
	LEA 06.1		Indicate whether your organisation unsuccessful.	has an escalation s	strategy when engagements are	
○ Yes No						
LEA	07	Volu	untary	Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 1,2

LEA 07.1

Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation's engagements are shared with investment decision-makers.

Type of engagement	Insights shared
Individual / Internal staff engagements	Yes, systematicallyYes, occasionallyNo
Collaborative engagements	Yes, systematicallyYes, occasionallyNo
Service provider engagements	Yes, systematicallyYes, occasionallyNo

LEA 07.2

Indicate the practices used to ensure information and insights collected through engagements are shared with investment decision-makers.

☑ Involving investment decision-makers when developing engagement programme
☑ Holding investment team meetings and/or presentations
☑ Using IT platforms/systems that enable data sharing
$\hfill\square$ Internal process that requires portfolio managers to re-balance holdings based on interaction and outcome levels
☐ Other; specify
□ None



LEA 07.3

Indicate whether insights gained from your organisation's engagements are shared with your clients/beneficiaries.

Type of engagement	Insights shared
Individual/Internal staff engagements	Yes, systematicallyYes, occasionallyNo
Collaborative engagements	Yes, systematicallyYes, occasionallyNo
Service provider engagements	Yes, systematicallyYes, occasionallyNo

LEA 07.4

Additional information. [Optional]

Insights gained from our engagements are shared via the ESG inbox which is accessible by all staff. Engagements are reviewed by the ESG Committee, which includes members of the investment team, on a quarterly basis.

Service provider engagements are shared directly with clients and proxy voting decisions with voting rationale are publicly available via our website.

LEA 08 Mandatory Public Gateway PRI 2

LEA 08.1

Indicate if you track the number of your engagement activities.

Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual / Internal staff engagements	Yes, we track the number of our engagements in fullYes, we partially track the number of our engagementsWe do not track
Collaborative engagements	Yes, we track the number of our engagements in fullYes, we partially track the number of our engagementsWe do not track
Service provider engagements	Yes, we track the number of our engagements in fullYes, we partially track the number of our engagementsWe do not track

LEA 08.2

Additional information. [OPTIONAL

Responses and outcomes of written engagements and collaborative engagements are monitored via the ESG Inbox.

Details of all company meetings and calls are recorded in our Company Meeting Tracker with relevant note shares with all staff via the ESG and Portfolio Monitor Inboxes.



Oversight of service provider activity occurs on the ISS Proxy Exchange platform.

Outputs and outcomes

LEA 11 Voluntary Public Descriptive PRI 2

Disclaimer

The stock examples and images that follow are not recommendations to buy or sell any security. No implication is made as to whether they will prove profitable in the future. It is not known whether they will feature in any future portfolio produced by us. The examples have been selected to assist in illustrating our ESG investment process. They have been held in portfolios and are as of the date of this document but may not be in future.

This document contains information on investments which does not constitute independent research and so, accordingly, it is not subject to the protections afforded to independent research.

LEA 11.1

Provide examples of the engagements that your organisation or your service provider carried out during the reporting year.

ESG Topic	Executive Remuneration, Human rights, Labour practices and supply chain management
	☐ Climate Change
	☑ Human rights
	☐ Company leadership issues
	□ Pollution
	☐ General ESG
	□ Diversity
	☐ Shareholder rights
	☐ Health and Safety
	☐ Sustainability reporting
	☐ Water risks
	☑ Labour practices and supply chain management
	☐ Anti-bribery and corruption
	☐ Deforestation
	☐ Aggressive tax planning
	☐ Cyber security
	☐ Other governance
	□ Plastics
	□ Other
Conducted	☑ Individual / Internal
by	□ Collaborative
	□ Service provider
Objectives	For the companies we invest in to have executive compensation and incentives which support long term business sustainability and full oversight of the supply chain.
	Request an explanation as to how current targets and policies are aligned with our objectives and/or an outline of future plans to improve disclosure on executive compensation.
	Seek clarification on whether the company's supply chain is involved in unethical practices including forced and child labour.
Scope and Process	Japanese retailer Ryohin Keikaku take an innovative approach to managing the environmental and social issues surrounding the online retail industry. Their 100 Good Things initiative includes sourcing material responsibly throughout their supply chain, reducing and recycling packaging and clothing, involvement in community development, and commitment to improving the lifestyle of their customers and employees.
	Having reviewed Ryohin's IR materials we noted that while the company's Corporate Governance Policy states that 'we will demonstrate our reliability by making fair and transparent disclosures' there was no detail on executive compensation. We wrote to senior management requesting an explanation as to how their compensation plan is aligned with our objectives and whether they planned to disclose in future.
	We were also aware of the forced and child labour present in Turkmenistan and Uzbekistan cotton production. Imports of cotton into Japan from these regions are small but still pose a risk to the supply chain. Ryohin are committed to eliminating the risk of modern slavery in their operations and the supply chain, so we wrote to them asking for confirmation that they and their business partners will not source cotton from Uzbek or Turkmen while the governments continue unethical practices in its cotton industry.



Outcomes	☐ Company changed practice
	☐ Company committed to change
	☐ Disclosure / report published
	☐ Divestment
	☐ Failed/no outcome
	☐ Increased understanding / information
	☐ Invested in company
	☑ Ongoing
	□ Voting
	□ Other



ESG Topic	Human rights, Labour practices and supply chain management □ Executive Remuneration
	☐ Climate Change
	☑ Human rights
	☐ Company leadership issues
	□ Pollution
	☐ General ESG
	□ Diversity
	☐ Shareholder rights
	☐ Health and Safety
	☐ Sustainability reporting
	□ Water risks
	☑ Labour practices and supply chain management
	☐ Anti-bribery and corruption
	□ Deforestation
	☐ Aggressive tax planning
	☐ Cyber security
	☐ Other governance
	□ Plastics
	□ Other
Conducted by	☑ Individual / Internal
Бу	□ Collaborative
	☐ Service provider
Objectives	For the companies we invest in to have full oversight of the supply chain and ensure responsible practices in company operations and throughout the supply chain.
	Request an explanation for allegations and find out how current targets and policies are aligned with long term business sustainability and/or an outline of future plans to improve on ESG conduct.
Scope and Process	Clothing manufacturer Gildan Activewear supplies t-shirts, fleeces and sports shirts to wholesale distributors for customisable clothing channels. When considering Gildan as an addition to our portfolios, we found reports of poor working standards in factories which supply Gildan, with many factories not meeting the minimum required standards. Claims included not paying the minimum daily wage and not paying for overtime. There were also reports of employees being unfairly dismissed after developing repetitive strain injuries.
	Of particular concern was Gildan's investment in Haitian factories after the natural disaster in 2010. Reports on the Genesis S.A. Factory in Haiti's capital Port-au-Prince accused Gildan of dismissing employees for attempting unionisation for new labour rights. Haitian apparel workers receive some of the lowest wages and we felt that Gildan's continued use of this cheap labour was exploitative rather than an honest attempt at aiding development.
	We engaged with the company seeking clarification on these issues back in July 2018 but received no response. Apprehensive about the lack of engagement with prospective shareholders and the issues in Gildan's supply chain, we took the decision not to invest.



Outcomes	☐ Company changed practice
	□ Company committed to change
	☐ Disclosure / report published
	☐ Divestment
	☑ Failed/no outcome
	☐ Increased understanding / information
	☐ Invested in company
	□ Ongoing
	□ Voting
	□ Other



ESG Topic	Executive Remuneration, Human rights, Labour practices and supply chain management
	☑ Executive Remuneration
	☐ Climate Change
	☑ Human rights
	☐ Company leadership issues
	□ Pollution
	☐ General ESG
	□ Diversity
	☐ Shareholder rights
	☐ Health and Safety
	☐ Sustainability reporting
	☐ Water risks
	☐ Labour practices and supply chain management
	☐ Anti-bribery and corruption
	☐ Deforestation
	☐ Aggressive tax planning
	☐ Cyber security
	☐ Other governance
	□ Plastics
	□ Other
Conducted	☑ Individual / Internal
by	□ Collaborative
	☐ Service provider
Objectives	For the companies we invest in to have executive compensation and incentives which support long term
	business sustainability and full oversight of the supply chain.
	Request an explanation as to how current targets and policies are aligned with our objectives and/or an outline of future plans to improve disclosure on executive compensation.
	Seek clarification on whether the company's supply chain is involved in unethical practices including forced and child labour.

Scope and Process	Japanese retailer ZOZO have what we consider to be an effective approach to ESG. The company has objectives to reduce energy consumption and reuse second-hand apparel, is involved with community support and development, and is committed to improving the lifestyle of their employees.
	We felt that ZOZO lacked disclosure on executive compensation and their supply chain practices, so we wrote to senior management asking for an explanation as to how current targets and policies are aligned with our objectives.
	ZOZO's Corporate Governance Policy states that compensation for directors comprises basic remuneration, a fixed monthly remuneration corresponding to the position, and performance-linked remuneration based on the business management plan's targets. However, the company's IR documents do not disclose further detail on executive compensation.
	We were also aware of the forced and child labour present in Turkmenistan and Uzbekistan cotton production. Imports of cotton into Japan from these regions are small but still pose a risk to the supply chain. We decided to engage with ZOZO asking for confirmation that they and their business partners will not source cotton from Uzbek or Turkmen while the governments continue unethical practices in its cotton industry.
Outcomes	☐ Company changed practice
	☐ Company committed to change
	☐ Disclosure / report published
	☐ Divestment
	☐ Failed/no outcome
	☐ Increased understanding / information
	☐ Invested in company
	☑ Ongoing
	□ Voting
	□ Other

 $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$ Add Example 4



ESG Topic	Human rights, Labour practices and supply chain management Executive Remuneration Climate Change Human rights Company leadership issues Pollution General ESG Diversity Shareholder rights Health and Safety Sustainability reporting Water risks Labour practices and supply chain management Anti-bribery and corruption Deforestation Aggressive tax planning Cyber security Other governance Plastics
	□ Other
Conducted by	✓ Individual / Internal ☐ Collaborative ☐ Service provider
Objectives	For the companies in which we invest is to have full oversight of the supply chain and ensure responsible practices in company operations and throughout the supply chain. Request an explanation as to how current targets and policies are aligned with our objectives and/or an outline of future plans to improve on ethical practices in the supply chain.
Scope and Process	The Harkin-Engel "Cocoa" Protocol was formed in 2001 as an agreement between governments and the cocoa industry to end the worst forms of child labour in cocoa production. The initial deadline of 2005 has continually been extended with the 2018 Cocoa Barometer report stating that "Not a single company or government is anywhere near reaching the sector wide objective of elimination of child labour, and not even near their commitments of a 70% reduction of child labour by 2020". As a signatory to the Cocoa Protocol, Nestlé have implemented a Cocoa Plan on tackling child labour in their supply chain. However, there have been reports that Nestlé have failed to meet targets to reduce child labour in Cote d'Ivoire. Nestlé plans to source only 57% of their anticipated supply of cocoa through their Cocoa Plan by the end of 2020, falling short of the Cocoa Protocol's target. Concerned by these assertions about the sustainability of global cocoa production and Nestlé's supply chain, we wrote to senior management requesting an explanation as to how the company plan to meet these targets.



Outcomes	☐ Company changed practice
	☐ Company committed to change
	☐ Disclosure / report published
	□ Divestment
	☐ Failed/no outcome
	☐ Increased understanding / information
	☐ Invested in company
	☑ Ongoing
	□ Voting
	□ Other



ESG Topic	General ESG
	☐ Executive Remuneration
	☐ Climate Change
	☐ Human rights
	□ Company leadership issues
	□ Pollution
	☑ General ESG
	□ Diversity
	☐ Shareholder rights
	☐ Health and Safety
	☐ Sustainability reporting
	□ Water risks
	☐ Labour practices and supply chain management
	☐ Anti-bribery and corruption
	□ Deforestation
	☐ Aggressive tax planning
	☐ Cyber security
	☐ Other governance
	□ Plastics
	□ Other
Conducted	☑ Individual / Internal
Conducted by	☑ Individual / Internal □ Collaborative
	□ Collaborative
by	
	□ Collaborative □ Service provider For the companies in which we invest is to contribute positively to the development and wellbeing of
by	□ Collaborative □ Service provider For the companies in which we invest is to contribute positively to the development and wellbeing of society.
by	□ Collaborative □ Service provider For the companies in which we invest is to contribute positively to the development and wellbeing of
Objectives	□ Collaborative □ Service provider For the companies in which we invest is to contribute positively to the development and wellbeing of society. Gain an insight into the operations and ESG conduct of both invested companies and prospects.
by	□ Collaborative □ Service provider For the companies in which we invest is to contribute positively to the development and wellbeing of society.
Objectives Scope and	□ Collaborative □ Service provider For the companies in which we invest is to contribute positively to the development and wellbeing of society. Gain an insight into the operations and ESG conduct of both invested companies and prospects. Grifols is a major global healthcare business based on plasma gathering and processing from the world's largest network of donations centres. Plasma contains essential proteins and antibodies that help sustain our body's vital functions. A shortage of any one of these proteins, such as albumin or immunoglobulins,
Objectives Scope and	Collaborative Service provider For the companies in which we invest is to contribute positively to the development and wellbeing of society. Gain an insight into the operations and ESG conduct of both invested companies and prospects. Grifols is a major global healthcare business based on plasma gathering and processing from the world's largest network of donations centres. Plasma contains essential proteins and antibodies that help sustain our body's vital functions. A shortage of any one of these proteins, such as albumin or immunoglobulins, can give rise to one of many life-threatening illnesses. To restore or replace missing proteins, patients are often administered protein therapies that are derived from human plasma. Grifols develops and manufactures these specialised protein therapies and
Objectives Scope and	Collaborative Service provider For the companies in which we invest is to contribute positively to the development and wellbeing of society. Gain an insight into the operations and ESG conduct of both invested companies and prospects. Grifols is a major global healthcare business based on plasma gathering and processing from the world's largest network of donations centres. Plasma contains essential proteins and antibodies that help sustain our body's vital functions. A shortage of any one of these proteins, such as albumin or immunoglobulins, can give rise to one of many life-threatening illnesses. To restore or replace missing proteins, patients are often administered protein therapies that are derived from human plasma. Grifols develops and manufactures these specialised protein therapies and distributes them in more than 90 countries worldwide. We engaged with Grifols in August 2018 with the objective of gain further insight into the company's operations and ESG conduct. We learned that, aside from its core plasma business, Grifols looks at protein-based treatments for Alzheimer's and other conditions and were close to publishing a long term study into the effects of Albumin-based treatments for patients with moderate Alzheimer's disease. While not yet a 'cure' for Alzheimer's, the results indicated the potential of protein-based treatment, supporting



Outcomes	□ Company changed practice
	□ Company committed to change
	☐ Disclosure / report published
	☐ Divestment
	☐ Failed/no outcome
	☐ Increased understanding / information
	☑ Invested in company
	□ Ongoing
	□ Voting
	□ Other



ESG Topic	General ESG Executive Remuneration Climate Change Human rights Company leadership issues Pollution
	✓ General ESG □ Diversity
	□ Shareholder rights
	□ Health and Safety
	☐ Sustainability reporting
	□ Water risks
	☐ Labour practices and supply chain management
	☐ Anti-bribery and corruption
	□ Deforestation
	☐ Aggressive tax planning
	☐ Cyber security
	☐ Other governance
	□ Plastics
	Other
Conducted by	☐ Individual / Internal
	☑ Collaborative
	☐ Service provider
Objectives	Raise awareness of the danger of antibiotic resistance and its threat to public health.
	Preserve the efficacy of antibiotics against disease in both humans and animals.
	Support the establishment of a comprehensive antibiotics policy that includes clear timelines for phasing our routine, non-therapeutic use of antibiotics across all livestock, seafood and poultry supply chains.
Scope and Process	The emergence of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is estimated to be responsible for 700,000 deaths around the world each year, a trend the US Center for Disease Control and Prevention considers one of the world's "most serious health threats".
	As investors in pharmaceutical and healthcare stocks, as well as wholesaler companies which source produce from livestock, poultry, fisheries, and aquaculture supply chains, we recognised the risk posed by AMR to both food production and public health.
	Dundas signed the Investor Statement on Antibiotic Stewardship, coordinated by the FAIRR initiative, to back the reform of non-therapeutic use of antibiotics in livestock production to protect public health, mitigate risk, and for long-term value creation.
	The statement is currently supported by 73 investors representing over three trillion US dollars in assets.



Outcomes	☐ Company changed practice
	□ Company committed to change
	☐ Disclosure / report published
	□ Divestment
	☐ Failed/no outcome
	☐ Increased understanding / information
	☐ Invested in company
	□ Ongoing
	□ Voting
	☑ Other
ĺ	



ESG Topic	Climate Change, Human rights Executive Remuneration Climate Change Human rights Company leadership issues Pollution General ESG Diversity Shareholder rights Health and Safety Sustainability reporting Water risks Labour practices and supply chain management Anti-bribery and corruption Deforestation Aggressive tax planning Cyber security Other governance Plastics Other
Conducted by	□ Individual / Internal ☑ Collaborative □ Service provider
Objectives	Commit to supporting a Just Transition on climate change. Raise awareness of the social dimension of climate change. Encourage the consideration of the social issues relating to climate change in regional, national and international policies.
Scope and Process	There is an increasing recognition that the social dimension of the transition to a resilient and low-carbon economy has been given insufficient attention, notably in terms of the implications in the workplace and wider community. Achieving a just transition, in line with the 2015 Paris Agreement on Climate Change, will help to accelerate climate action in ways that deliver the Sustainable Development Goals. Dundas endorsed the PRI's statement on the Just Transition which states that as investors with a requirement to act in the best interest of our beneficiaries and in line with our fiduciary duties, we believe that strategies to tackle climate change need to incorporate the full ESG dimensions of responsible investment. The statement is currently supported by 120 investors representing over six trillion US dollars in assets.



					 1
Outcomes	□ Cor	mpany changed practice			
	☐ Cor	npany committed to char	nge		
	□ Dis	closure / report published	I		
	□ Div	estment			
	☐ Fail	ed/no outcome			
	☐ Inci	eased understanding / in	formation		
	□ Inve	ested in company			
	□ Ong	going			
	□ Vot	ing			
	☑ Oth	er			
	☐ Add Exar	nple 8			
	☐ Add Exar				
	☐ Add Exar	nple 10			
(Proxy) vo	ting and shareholder	resolutions		
LEA 12	Mai	ndatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2
L	EA 12.1	Indicate how you typic	ally make your (proxy) votir	ng decisions.	
		Approach			
	○ We use	e our own research or vo	ting team and make voting	decisions without the use of s	service providers.
		e service provider(s) who	make voting recommendate	tions and/or provide research	that we use to guide
			make voting decisions on	our behalf, except for some p	re-defined scenarios
	where we	review and make voting	decisions.		
		Based on			
	○ th	e service provider voting	policy we signed off on		
	\circ or	ur own voting policy			
	\circ or	ır clients' requests or poli	cies		
	ot				
		her, explain			
		ur policy is generally serv	vice provider voting policy sour business relationships.	igned off by us, with the exce	eption of client-



LEA 12.2

Provide an overview of how you ensure your voting policy is adhered to, giving details of your approach when exceptions to the policy are made.

Dundas has engaged Institutional Shareholder Services Inc. (ISS) to support with the processing and management portions of the proxy process. ISS receive the proxy ballots, execute votes on the firm's behalf, maintain voting records and provide comprehensive reports on all votes cast.

The Advisor will generally vote according to ISS' Global Voting Principles, which provide for four key tenets on accountability, stewardship, independence, and transparency, taking into account relevant laws, customs, and best practice codes of each market and region. These principles underlie their approach to developing recommendations on management and shareholder proposals. These principles align with our client's best interest, by promoting long-term shareholder value creation. However this does not mean that we will always vote with ISS recommendations. On any aspect of business strategy where the recommendation is not aligned with our client's best interest, we will make the final vote decisions.

Each research analyst is responsible for reviewing the proxy voting materials and ISS's recommendation. In any instance that a research analyst believes that voting according to the ISS recommendation would not be aligned with a client's best interest, the matter will be escalated to the Portfolio Review Control Committee to decide how to vote. If, over time, common and recurring themes of disagreement with ISS recommendations are identified, the Portfolio Review Committee may develop alternate guidelines to be used in place of ISS's Global Voting Principles. Any such additional guidelines will be incorporated into this Proxy Policy and Procedures,

ISS carry out daily audits, detailed vote reconciliation and automated, end-of-day production checks to ensure all votes are executed accurately. Discrepancies are reported to the Firm and reviewed monthly by the compliance committee, chaired by the Chief Compliance Officer.

Members of our team meet with our service provider on a biannual basis, and attend an annual roundtable to discuss progress regarding engagement objectives. At these meetings, we take the opportunity to highlight particular areas of interest as identified by our firm and in-house process. The role of ISS is reviewed and assessed annually by the compliance committee.

LEA '	13	Mandatory		Public	Additional Assessed	PRI 2
	LEA 1	3.1	Of the voting recommendations that percentage reviewed by your organ			dicate the
			Percentage of voting recommendate	ations your organis	ation reviewed	
	0 1	00-75%	6 ,			
	0 7	4-50%				
	4	9-25%				
	0 2	4-1%				
	\circ N	lone				



	Reasons for review			
☑ Specific	environmental and/or social issues			
□ Votes fo	or significant holdings			
✓ Votes a	gainst management and/or abstentic	ons		
☐ Conflict	s of interest			
	ate action, such as M&As, disposals,	etc.		
	concerning companies with which we	have an active e	ngagement	
☑ Client real	equests			
	oversight of service provider			
☐ Shareh	older resolutions			
☐ Share b	blocked securities			
☐ Other, €	explain			
.15 Man	datory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2
LEA 15.1	raised concerns with companies al		rvice providers acting on you	ur behalf have
LEA 15.1 ○ 100%			rvice providers acting on you	ur behalf have
			rvice providers acting on you	ur behalf have
O 100%			rvice providers acting on you	ur behalf have
○ 100% ③ 99-75%			ervice providers acting on you	ur behalf have
100%● 99-75%74-50%			ervice providers acting on you	ur behalf have
○ 100%● 99-75%○ 74-50%○ 49-25%○ 24-1%		nead of voting.		ur behalf have
100%99-75%74-50%49-25%24-1%Neither we	raised concerns with companies at	nead of voting.	panies ahead of voting	
○ 100% ● 99-75% ○ 74-50% ○ 49-25% ○ 24-1% ○ Neither we	raised concerns with companies at	nead of voting.	panies ahead of voting	
○ 100%	e nor our service provider(s) raise co Indicate the reasons for raising your selected markets	nead of voting.	panies ahead of voting	
○ 100%	e nor our service provider(s) raise co Indicate the reasons for raising your selected markets r selected sectors	nead of voting.	panies ahead of voting	
 100% 99-75% 74-50% 49-25% 24-1% Neither we LEA 15.2 Vote(s) fo Vote(s) fo Vote(s) re 	raised concerns with companies all e nor our service provider(s) raise co Indicate the reasons for raising you r selected markets r selected sectors lating to certain ESG issues	nead of voting. ncerns with comp	panies ahead of voting these companies ahead of vo	
○ 100%	raised concerns with companies all e nor our service provider(s) raise co Indicate the reasons for raising your r selected markets r selected sectors lating to certain ESG issues n companies exposed to controversy	nead of voting. ncerns with comp	panies ahead of voting these companies ahead of vo	
○ 100%	raised concerns with companies all e nor our service provider(s) raise co Indicate the reasons for raising you r selected markets r selected sectors lating to certain ESG issues n companies exposed to controversy r significant shareholdings	nead of voting. ncerns with comp	panies ahead of voting these companies ahead of vo	
○ 100%	raised concerns with companies all e nor our service provider(s) raise co Indicate the reasons for raising you r selected markets r selected sectors lating to certain ESG issues n companies exposed to controversy r significant shareholdings	nead of voting. ncerns with comp	panies ahead of voting these companies ahead of vo	

LEA 15.3 Additional information. [Optional]

Dundas has engaged Institutional Shareholder Services Inc. (ISS) to support with company engagement proactively during the year and to raise concerns prior to company meetings. ISS analysts engage with corporate issuers, dissident shareholders, and sponsors of shareholder proposals wherever they consider that doing so will assist in producing high quality research reports. The purpose of such discussions for ISS is to obtain or communicate clarification about governance and voting issues, in order to ensure that their research and policy-driven recommendations are based on the most comprehensive and accurate information available. Sometimes such dialogue is initiated by ISS, while other times it is initiated by the issuer or shareholder.

In contested situations, ISS ordinarily seek to engage with both sides. Issuers or proponents seeking to engage with ISS are requested to first provide a proposed agenda: this is essential to determine which ISS analysts should take part and to enable analysts to prepare so that the engagement can be productive for all participants. Notably, during



the annual meeting season, in-person meetings are typically limited to contentious issues, including contested mergers, proxy contests, or other special situations, while engagement on other topics is handled telephonically.

LEA 16 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2 Indicate the proportion of votes participated in within the reporting year in which, you and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf, have communicated to companies the rationale for **LEA 16.1** abstaining or voting against management recommendations. **100%** ○ 99-75% O 74-50% O 49-25% O 24-1% O We do not communicate the rationale to companies O Not applicable because we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations In cases where your organisation does communicate the rationale for the abstention or the vote **LEA 16.3** against management recommendations, indicate whether this rationale is made public. Yes \bigcirc No **LEA 17 Mandatory Public Core Assessed** PRI 2 For listed equities where you and/or your service provider have the mandate to issue (proxy) **LEA 17.1** voting instructions, indicate the percentage of votes cast during the reporting year. • We do track or collect this information Votes cast (to the nearest 1%) % 98.65 Specify the basis on which this percentage is calculated O of the total number of ballot items on which you could have issued instructions

O We do not track or collect this information

of the total number of company meetings at which you could have voted
 of the total value of your listed equity holdings on which you could have voted

LEA 17.2	Explain your reason(s) for not voting on certain holdings
☐ Shares we	ere blocked
☑ Notice, ba	llots or materials not received in time
☐ Missed de	eadline
☐ Geograph	ical restrictions (non-home market)
□ Cost	
☐ Conflicts of	of interest
☐ Holdings of	deemed too small
☐ Administra placement)	ative impediments (e.g., power of attorney requirements, ineligibility due to participation in share
☐ Client req	uest
□ Other	

LEA 18 Voluntary Public Additional Assessed PRI 2

LEA 18.1

Indicate if you track the voting instructions that you and/or your service provider on your behalf have issued.

Yes, we track this information

LEA 18.2

Of the voting instructions that you and/or third parties on your behalf issued, indicate the proportion of ballot items that were:

Voting instructions	Breakdown as percentage of votes cast
For (supporting) management recommendations	86.70
Against (opposing) management recommendations	%
	8.89
Abstentions	%
	4.40

99.99%

 \bigcirc No, we do not track this information

LEA 18.3

In cases where your organisation voted against management recommendations, indicate the percentage of companies you have engaged.

100

9	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
LEA 19.	1 Indicate whether your organ	nisation has a formal escala	ation strategy following unsucce	ssful voting
YesNo				
LEA 19.	Indicate the escalation strate against management.	egies used at your organis	ation following abstentions and	or votes
☐ Conta	acting the company's board			
☐ Conta	acting the company's senior mana	gement		
☐ Issuir	ng a public statement explaining th	e rationale		
	ing individual/collaborative engage	ement		
□ Initiat				
	ting service providers to engage			
□ Direc	ting service providers to engage cing exposure (holdings) / divestm	nent		

Our service providers engage on our behalf.

